CONSULTATION PAPER

REVIEW OF THE INTERNAL MARKET IN ROAD FREIGHT TRANSPORT

The current EU policies and legislation

The White Paper on Transport¹ presented by the Commission on 28 March 2011 called for additional actions to further integrate the internal road freight transport market. The Commission's objective is to create an economically efficient, environmentally sustainable and socially equitable internal market that ensures fair competition between transport operators, delivers high quality services to shippers, provides quality jobs for transport workers and minimises the road haulage sector's environmental and climate footprint. The White Paper recognises:

- that market opening needs to go hand in hand with measures to ensure a level playing field, quality jobs, working conditions and environmentally sustainable transport;
- that human resources are a particularly crucial component of any high quality transport system;
- the need to align the competitiveness and the social agenda, building on social dialogue and promoting social progress in the sector;
- technological innovation together with the related social, business and organisational innovations can help the transition to a more efficient and sustainable European transport system.

The most recent change in the relevant EU legislation took place in December 2009 when new regulations modernising the rules governing road transport were adopted, including Regulation (EC) No 1071/2009 establishing common rules concerning the conditions to be complied with to pursue the occupation of road transport operator and Regulation (EC) No 1072/2009 on common rules for access to the international road haulage market. Regulation 1072/2009 also changed the rules applicable to cabotage operations. The objective was to eliminate the uncertainties associated with the possible differing national interpretations of the applicable cabotage rules.

The EU has also harmonised the social rules, in particular the driving times and rest periods through Regulation (EC) 561/2006, enforcement through Regulation (EC) 3821/85 and Directive 2006/22/EC and the technical, environmental and safety standards related to the vehicles. Attempts have been made at the harmonisation of the relevant fiscal conditions as well. A framework for charging trucks for the use of infrastructures has also been put in place (Directive 1999/62/EC, the so-called Eurovignette Directive).

Under Regulation 1072/2009 the Commission is bound to draw up a report on the state of the Community road transport market by the end of 2013 assessing whether harmonisation of the

¹ Roadmap to a Single European Transport Area - Towards a competitive and resource efficient transport system.

Available on http://ec.europa.eu/transport/strategies/2011_white_paper_en.htm

rules applicable to the road transport market has progressed to such an extent that the further opening of domestic road transport markets, including cabotage, could be envisaged.

High Level Group for the Road Haulage Market

As a consequence of the Commission's reporting obligation, in June 2011 Siim Kallas, Vice-President of the European Commission and Commissioner responsible for transport set up a High Level Group composed of independent scientific experts to assess the state of the EU road haulage market and make published recommendations about what course of actions should be pursued to further integrate the market.

Scope of the questionnaire

The aim of the present consultation exercise is to collect the views of the stakeholders as part of the enquiries of the High Level Group. The Commission will take into consideration both the recommendations of the High Level Group and the feedback received from stakeholders in this initial consultation exercise when deciding how to proceed with the revision of EU legislation.

The questionnaire addresses issues related to the quality of road transport, new technologies, social issues and enforcement of rules, road user charges and driving restrictions and cabotage as they all form integral and interrelated parts of the internal market for road freight transport.

How to reply to this consultation

Stakeholders may reply to this consultation via the Commission's on-line interactive policy-making tool or by submitting their replies either by e-mail or mail to the addresses indicated below. Responses submitted by any of these means will be taken into consideration but stakeholders are encouraged to fill in the questionnaire on-line as it will facilitate the processing of the replies. Contributions are welcome from citizens, organisations and public authorities.

You are strongly advised to prepare your contribution in advance before filling-in the questionnaire online. We recommend you download the PDF file of the questionnaire, to allow you to draft your answers to the open text questions carefully. After preparing all your answers, please open the online questionnaire and fill it out.

Please note that the on-line version of the questionnaire will go live before the end of August 2011. Respondents will be able to access it through the European Commission's Interactive Policy Making website at:

http://ec.europa.eu/yourvoice/ipm/forms/html/index.html

Both a Word and a PDF version of this consultation document can be downloaded from the following website:

http://ec.europa.eu/transport/road/consultations/index_en.htm

Respondents can send an electronic copy of their replies to the following e-mail address:

MOVE-D3-CONSULTATION-TRANSPORTS@ec.europa.eu

and/or respondents can send a paper copy of their replies to the following postal address:

European Commission

Directorate-General for Mobility and Transport

Unit D3 – Road transport

B – 1049 Brussels

Please note that this document has been drafted by the High Level Group for information and consultation purposes only. It has not been adopted or in any way approved by the European Commission and should not be regarded as representative of the views of Commission staff. It does not in any way prejudge, or constitute the announcement of, any position on the part of the Commission on the issues covered.

The European Commission does not guarantee the accuracy of the information provided, nor does it accept responsibility for any use made thereof.

The contributions received from stakeholders will be published on the Commission's website, unless requested otherwise by their authors. A consent box is provided at the end of the questionnaire.

Consultation period

In order to meet the High Level Group's tight reporting schedule, questionnaires should be returned by **30th September 2011** or preferably sooner. Nevertheless, questionnaires that are returned before 31 October 2011 will still be taken into consideration

3

Questionnaire

I. ABOUT YOU

To help us analyse the answers to this consultation, please provide the following information about you or your organisation.

I.1. Question:

In what capacity are you completing this questionnaire?

Answer (please tick one):		
□ as a citizen		
☐ private sector enterprise		
X industry association or non-governmental organisation (NGO)		
□ public authority		
I.2. Question:		
Please indicate if your organisation is registered in the Transparency Register of the European Commission?		
http://europa.eu/transparency-register/index_en.htm		
Answer (please tick as appropriate):		
X Yes		
□ No		
If yes, please indicate the identification number		
Answer (free text):		

I.3. Question:

7690236700-94

What is the name of the organisation or authority?

Answer (free text):

UETR (Union Européenne des Transporteurs Routiers / European Road Haulers Association)

I.4. Question:

Please provide details of the activities of your organisation. If there are multiple activities (e.g. haulage, freight forwarding) could you please indicate the relative importance of each?

(e.g. natinge, freight forwarding) could you please indicate the relative importance of each?
Answer (please tick as appropriate):
x Road haulage
☐ Freight forwarding
☐ Other transport activity (please specify)
☐ Other economic activity (please specify)
Answer (free text):
Please note that in the cause of transparency if respondents do not provide the above details about their organisations, then under Commission rules their responses will be recorded as responses of individuals.
II. QUALITY IN THE ROAD HAULAGE SECTOR
Economic efficiency, environmental sustainability and social fairness in the road freight transport sector are dependent on its quality.
Quality must be approached both from the levels of quality aspects and the availability of information about the actual quality levels (i.e. market transparency). Quality can relate, for example, to the service being offered to shippers (reliability, flexibility, security etc.), safety and environmental performance and working conditions.
Depending on the aspects, quality levels and transparency can be addressed by self regulation and/or regulations.

II.1. Question:

Which aspects of quality in the road haulage sector do you think should be improved?

Answer (please tick as appropriate, you can select more than one answer):
X	Economic efficiency
	Reliability
X	Flexibility

	Safety
	Security
	Environmental performance
X	Social fairness
	Other (please specify below)

Answer (free text):

A number of EU rules are too rigid and stringent, limiting the economic efficiency of road haulage sector. A good example are driving times and rest periods: they have remained basically the same and unchanged for the past 40 years, while infrastructure (e. g. no parking spaces, weak capacity, etc) and congestion have radically changed. Such rules have also an impact on companies' flexibility. Under such a state of things, companies face a trade-off between economic activities-work and EU rules compliance. A change in legislation can lead to a higher quality level in road haulage sector.

Moreover, EU should absolutely tackle the transportation costs (harmonization) and supply chain issues (e. g. co-liability undertakings-consignors-freight forwarders-contractors).

II.2. Question:

Do you think that different quality aspects and different quality levels should apply in the cases of domestic transport, international transport and cabotage or other transport segments?

Answer (please tick as appropriate):	
□ Yes	
x No	

If yes, please specify which quality aspects and levels should be applied in each of these forms of transport?

Answer (free text):

It is necessary to guarantee a basic level of quality level playing field: anyway, with the adequate dose of flexibility in order to better adjust regulation to the specific needs of a certain type of transport (the needs of a domestic transport are not identical to those of international transport).

II.3. Question:

In order to improve transparency should there be recognised differentiated (i.e. higher and lower) levels of quality for each of the following categories: freight forwarders, hauliers and drivers?

Answer (please tick as appropriate):
\Box Yes
x No
If yes, please specify which quality aspects and levels should be applied for each of these actors?
Answer (free text):
See above
II.4. Question:
If you answered yes to questions II.2 and II.3 then should the different aspects and levels of quality be implemented through:
Answer (please tick as appropriate):
□ self-regulation and industry standards?
☐ legislation?
X a combination of the above two approaches?
For each aspect of quality that you named above please specify below.
Answer (free text):
Legislation, best practices and voluntary schemes with a rewarding approach could be the right solution. "Moral suasion" also could help (e. g. publication of a "black list" of "rogue" companies/contractors.
II.5. Question:
Since there are rules setting out qualitative criteria applicable to hauliers and drivers, should there also be qualitative criteria for freight forwarders?
Answer (please tick as appropriate):
x Yes
\sqcap No

If yes, what should be the criteria for freight forwarders?

Answer (free text):

Freight forwarders must be effectively established in a Member State, have good repute, have appropriate financial standing and have the required professional competence. Briefly, EU rules on the access to profession of freight forwarder is absolutely needed (+ compulsory vocational training as for transport undertakings) in order to prevent fraud and exploitation of small truckers and independent businesses.

Freight forwarders should follow established good practices in cargo securing, packaging of goods and good charging. Overloading of vehicles/axles and loss of load are too frequent practices, hampering road safety.

If no, do you consider that current rules relating to hauliers should be modified to take account of situations where the haulage operations of an individual company are less important than the freight forwarding and subcontracting operations?

Answer (please tick as appropriate):
□ Yes
□ No
If yes, in what way?
Answer (free text):
By introducing a piece of legislation modelled on regulation n.1071/2009, see above

II.6. Question:

Do you consider that innovation and its deployment are currently inhibited in the road haulage sector?

Answer (please tick as appropriate):	
x Yes	
□ No	

If yes, what do you consider the major problems and what can be done to overcome them?

Answer (free text):

Economic crisis and low profit margin in the sector are the two main causes today: nothing can be done to solve this problem as such, but granting subsidies for innovation and development could help the sector.

Innovation and its deployment are also hampered by not-flexible-legislation. In particular, EU provisions on weight and dimensions is out-of-date and obstructing innovation in the sector.

Innovation could and should be promoted through the intermediate role of professional organisations and vocational training. The best ITS solutions for road haulers are useless if entrepreneurs are not provided with the right training tools in order to know how to use them.

Moreover, network connections are of paramount importance: advanced devices are useless if used by a limited number of people or if they are not in a fully connected network at national (and European) level.

III. SOCIAL ISSUES AND ENFORCEMENT OF ROAD TRANSPORT RULES

In order to maintain an efficient road transport system, jobs in the sector must remain attractive which implies the rewarding of higher qualifications and improved working conditions.

Moreover, fair competition and a level playing field for operators require more uniform national enforcement policies, of which dissuasive, effective and proportionate sanctions constitute an important element. In addition, all the actors throughout the transport chain must be committed to compliance with the rules.

III.1. Question:

Do you consider that there is a shortage of drivers in the road freight transport sector?

Answer (please tick as appropriate):	
x Yes	
□ No	

If yes, what do you think are the main reasons for the shortage of drivers?

Answer (free text):

Straightjacket of all kind of rigid and complicated rules to comply with, falling demand, haulage tariffs in decline, increase in unemployment, lack of access to credit, taxes and charges, lack of infrastructures, unstoppable unfair competition: it is really a hard life for drivers/haulers. All these things make the job unattractive.

If yes, what actions do you think should be taken to improve the situation?

Answer (free text):

The shortage of parking places must be filled, so that drivers are no longer in the impossibility to take rest on time.

Driving times and rest periods should be more flexible, especially the break time. More possibilities to fractionalize the break time are needed. This can be made possible by returning to the old article or by developing a new article offering more possibilities.

Control of driving and rest times should be done by specifically trained officers (specialists), so that incorrect interpretation and application of rules can be avoided. Drivers have to been trained (35 hours every 5 years), but what about control officers?

Withdraw driving bans during weekends and on holidays, avoiding that way that drivers have to stay the weekend abroad, away from their family. Driving restrictions for Heavy Goods Vehicles in the EU compose an irrational and discordant patchwork: an harmonization is absolutely needed, at least intervening on those governments allowing up to three months of bans per year. That is unacceptable.

Improve the training levels for drivers (financed or at least co-financed) as well as enforcing legislation on co-responsibility of drivers in certain type of infringements.

III.2. Question:

Do you think that certain jobs should be reserved for drivers with higher qualifications?

Answer (please tick as appropriate):	
□ Yes	
x No	

If yes, which jobs?

Answer (free text):

The rules on professional competence of the drivers, require permanent education/training of the drivers. Drivers need every 5 years 35 hours of training to maintain their driving licence. This legislation on professional competence is a guarantee for high qualified drivers.

Besides, for a lot of specialised goods additional training is obligated: dangerous goods; transport of animals, etc.

III.3. Question:

Do you consider that enforcement practices are sufficiently harmonised across the EU?

Answer (please tie	ck as appropriate):
\Box Yes	
x No	
If no, what are the	main problems?
Answer (free text)):
implemented and variables such as uncertainty. The d	lack of harmonisation, we see the same European legislation being interpreted differently in each member state, depending on a number of state different culture, approach, procedures, etc. That generates legal differences in severity of sanctions for the same infraction is a deep concernate Regulation n. 561/2006 is a good example. Fair competition is also
We stress the need over the EU.	of specialized control officers, working following the same procedures all
III.4. Question In your opinion are	e sanctions and the levels of penalties sufficiently harmonised?
Answer (please tie	ck as appropriate):
□ Yes	
x No	

compliance?

Answer (please tick as appropriate):	
x Yes	
□ No	

III.6. Question:

What are your recommendations to improve the current situation in terms of enforcement practices, sanctions and levels of penalties?

Answer (free text):	
Answer (free text).	

The development of guidebooks or manuals for uniform interpretation of certain EU-regulation – see TRACE-project for example. Member states/control officers should be obliged to apply the regulation in conformity with such guidebook. EU should stimulate more and more concerted checks – some MS simply do not do it.

ERRU will be a useful instrument to improve checks all over EU, also at companies' premises (e. g. a policeman is MS A will be able to verify levels of infringements and sanctions – see rating system – of a company established in MS B).

EU must provide legal means to appeal against irrational attitude by national authorities or police forces (e. g. avoiding double checks on the same matter with different outcomes- how to complain in an effective way? E. g. see technical controls)

Member states should be forced to accept the introduction of electronic payment of fines. Companies' drivers today must bring cash money. One aspect to be highlighted is the phenomenon of theft in (unsecured, in the majority of cases) rest areas: the percentage of drivers suffering an attack, with negative effects also in terms of psychological shocks, has augmented in the last years. Moreover, because of the fear of violence, companies have difficulties in recruiting drivers-female in particular.

Otherwise, drivers/haulers must bring cash money to parking spaces where they have been controlled and stopped. As this is often hundreds of kilometres away from the undertakings' premises, specialised companies must be contacted to send cash money to the drivers. The cost of money transfer is high and it causes a big loss of time, as vehicles remain immobilized until payment.

A real harmonisation of social legislation in terms of labour cost is crucial to obtain a level playing field and a really integrated internal market. Furthermore existing legislation has to be controlled and sanctioned in a perfectly uniform way.

Enforcement of co-responsibility principle as contained in Reg 561/2006 and legally fully implemented in some MS (e. g. in Italy) is of paramount importance.

III.7. Question:

Do you think that mechanisms should be introduced to engage the liability of shippers and freight forwarders for certain serious infringements by road hauliers and their drivers?

Answer (please tic	k as appropriate):
x Yes	
\Box No	

If yes, which mechanisms should be introduced and for which serious infringements?

Answer (free text): Co-responsibility of shippers and freight forwarders for:

- overloading of vehicles and vehicle axles;
- infringements of EU social provisions;
- cargo securing;
- bad packaging;
- using transport companies without transport license;
- social security fraud; unreported employment

IV. ROAD USER CHARGES AND DRIVING RESTRICTIONS

Non discriminatory road pricing whereby vehicle operators pay a proportionate and fair price for using the road infrastructure independent of their country of establishment and the origin and destination of their load is an important element in ensuring fair competition in the internal market. In addition, variable road charges² can provide clear price signals to better manage traffic flows and create more resource-efficient and sustainable transport by reducing congestion and the environmental impact of road transport.

Today many fragmented national charging systems and policies exist in parallel that require hauliers engaged in international transport to purchase the Eurovignette, several national vignettes and various different electronic tags and on-board units to be able to drive unhindered on Europe's tolled roads.

While road user charging can improve the use of infrastructure, at the same time there are many restrictions that limit the flexibility of hauliers to operate during certain time periods such as during the night, over the weekend and over certain holiday periods. However, technological solutions exist to successfully address the concerns that originally prompted many of these restrictions. For example, urban delivery vehicles can be specified with very low noise emissions.

IV.1. Question:

Do you consider that the multiplicity of road charging systems in the EU represent a problem for the internal road haulage market?

Answer (please tick as appropriate)	:
x Yes	
□ No	

If yes, what are the main problems?

² Defined as toll in the legislation

Answer (please tick as appropriate):
x Insufficient interoperability of electronic tolls
x Differences of charging principles
☐ Others (please specify below)
Answer (free text):
IV.2. Question:
Should existing taxes or charges like the annual vehicle tax and time-based road user charges
(vignettes) or other taxes be replaced by distance based road user charges?
Answer (please tick as appropriate):
x Yes
□ No
Please explain your position. If yes, what are the reasons? If no, what are the reasons:
Answer (free text):
The use of the road should be the basis for charging and not the owning of a vehicle. The minima for vehicle excise duty should be abolished.
The distance based user charges should be maximal differentiated and must be transparent to all road users.
If you answered "yes" to question IV.2, should such a variable charge include:
Answer (please tick as appropriate):
x Infrastructure costs
☐ Environmental costs like the costs of air and noise pollution
-
☐ Congestion costs

Answer (free text):

UETR has always remarked that congestion is caused mainly by private cars and not by heavy weight vehicles. Transport sector is already paying the cost of traffic jams, in terms of loss of time, delays, road accidents, insurance fees, fuel consumption.

IV.3. Question:

While road user charging can improve the use of infrastructure, do you agree that measures enabling a 24 hour use of infrastructure could be investigated as another means for achieving an efficient use of infrastructure?

Answer (please tick as appropriate):	
x Yes	
□ No	

Please explain if appropriate (free text):

Infrastructure (and road transport in general) cannot currently operate 24 hours a day because of the impossibility to load or unload in the off-peak hours (at night/outside ordinary business hours- e. g. because of lack of infrastructure, resistance from retail and wholesale sectors); unavailability of customs/retailers/contractors; lack of effective, agreed and harmonized policy initiatives and measures at national and local level.

In order to make a 24h supply chain possible, incentives are needed. A 24 h supply chain would lead to a more optimal use of infrastructure and a lower infrastructural cost and less congestion.

V. CABOTAGE

The current EU cabotage rules entitle road hauliers to carry out up to 3 cabotage operations within 7 days after the full unloading of an international transport. One or more of these 3 operations may be carried out in other Member States (one per Member State within 3 days from the unladen entry into the territory of that Member State).

While giving more flexibility for international transport than previous rules, the current rules were conceived as a transitional step towards a more integrated internal market. They do not differentiate according to any quality criteria related to the operator, the driver or the vehicle contrary to existing schemes that promote higher quality transport (such as the ECMT licensing³ system which rewards operators using greener and safer vehicles with up to 10 times more licences). Moreover questions as to the proper enforcement of the current rules may arise.

³ ECMT licences are multilateral licences for the international carriage of goods by road for hire or reward by transport undertakings established in an OECD/ITF member country.

V.1. Question:

Has	the	change	in	cabotage	rules	intro	duced	in	May	2010	been)	valuable	e to	you?
1140	ULIC	01141150		cacotage	16100	111610	aacca		1,14			, aracre	,	,

Answer (please tick as appropriate):
X Yes
□ No
If no, please explain why.
Answer (free text):
V.2. Question:
Do you think that the controls aimed at ensuring compliance with the current cabotage rules
are effective?
Answer (please tick as appropriate):
□ Yes
X No
If no, please give reasons and your opinion how the controls can be improved.
Answer (free text):
Basically, the abolishment of compulsory document on board registering cabotage operations
make full check very difficult to make.
Se above about checks.
V.3. Question:
v.s. Question:
In your opinion do the current rules on cabotage limit the flexibility of hauliers and hence
their efficiency?
Answer (please tick as appropriate):
□ Yes
\Box No

V.4. Question:

If you answered "yes" to the above question, then what changes should be made to the current cabotage rules in order to further the use of cabotage? You may select more than one answer.

cabotage rules in order to further the use of cabotage? You may select more than one answer.
Answer (please tick as appropriate):
☐ Remove the link between international transport and cabotage
☐ Remove the need for the completion of the international transport operation (full unloading) before the cabotage operations can start
$\hfill \square$ Increase the limit of seven days within which the cabotage operations have to be carried out
$\ \square$ Increase the maximum number of cabotage operations (3) that can be carried out within the 7 day period
☐ Increase the limit of one cabotage operation that can be carried out in countries other than the one where the international transport operation was completed
$\ \square$ Increase the limit of 3 days to carry out the permitted cabotage operation after the unladen entry into a Member State
☐ Other. Please explain below
Explanation (free text):
UETR members are national professional associations from Western and Eastern EU Member States. Their individual opinions on the above questions vary, and are very different.
UETR as umbrella organization believes that the only way to tackle such issue is to stick to the condition of art. 17 point 3 of Reg. 1072/2009: only if/when the report on the state of the Community road transport market (which includes the matter of transportation costs) by the European Commission will definitely certify that the effectiveness and harmonization of controls, employment conditions in the profession, social and safety legislation have been fully reached, further opening of domestic road transport markets, including cabotage can be envisaged.
V.5. Question:

As an alternative to the current cabotage rules do you think that the entitlement for hauliers to carry out cabotage could be determined as a maximum percentage of their total annual transport performance?

Answer (please tick as appropriate):	
□ Yes	
□ No	

V.6. Question:

As an additional or alternative criterion,	should vehicle,	driver and	operator o	quality be	e a factor
in cabotage rules?			_		

Answer (please tick as appropriate):
\Box Yes
□ No
If yes, which aspects of quality for the vehicles, drivers and operators should be considered? Please select as appropriate. You may select more than one option. Vehicle
☐ Use only vehicles that meet the latest applicable EURO standard
☐ Install satellite positioning (e.g. GPS, EGNOS, later Galileo) units specifically designed for trucks in their vehicle to prevent the use of roads that are unsuitable for heavy goods vehicles
☐ Install tracking and tracing functionality into their vehicles to allow easier enforcement and compliance monitoring by control authorities
☐ Install (when available) integrated Intelligent Transport System (ITS) applications
☐ Other criteria (please specify below)
Other criteria (free text):
Driver
☐ Driver qualifications (e.g. ecodriving)
Other criteria (nlease specify below)

Other criteria (free text):	
<u>Operator</u>	
☐ Whether the operator signs up to a "Social Code" on the minimum pay and other relevant working conditions for their drivers	
☐ Whether cabotage operations are carried out only during non congested time periods	
☐ Whether the operator enters into a commitment to improve the CO2 efficiency of their transport operations by an agreed percentage	
☐ Other criteria (please specify below)	
Other criteria (free text):	

VI. OTHER QUESTIONS

VI.1. Question:

Do you have any other comments or suggestions which you consider should be taken into account during the revision of the European legislation concerning the road haulage sector?

Answer (free text):

- In case of positive field tests (on vehicles, infrastructure capacity, etc) directive 96/53 on weights and dimensions in road transport should be reviewed, including an evaluation of the aspect of eco-combi and transport on 44 tonnes trucks in all cross-border transport, as permitted in the Benelux for many years.
- Driving bans on weekends and holidays should be withdrawed;
- It is of paramount importance to guarantee the economic efficiency of the weakest part of the supply chain (smaller haulers), being "the backbone of the real economy".
- Road transport MSMEs too often have the impression that the internal market and White Paper's strategy are not made for them. The current on-going review is a great opportunity to make them change their mind...

VI.2. Question:

Do you agree that the Commission publishes your response?

Answer (please tick as appropriate):	
x Yes	
□ No	